

From: [PETERSON Jenn L](#)
To: [Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [Chip Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [Joe Goulet/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#)
Cc: rgensemer@parametrix.com
Subject: Clam and Sediment Re-Analysis
Date: 12/05/2006 02:29 PM

Before we jump into analyzing the clam data, I wanted to make sure the re-analysis requested by Gina was completed. I don't have a date on the memo I am looking at, but it is a review of the analytical results for the co-located clam and sediment data. She calls for re-analysis for several samples using the isotope dilution HRGC/HRMS analysis. The necessity for this re-analysis was called out for several stations (LWG2-BT022, 28, 32, 002, 009, 10, 11, 14, 16, 17 18 and 26). In this samples it was found that the sediment samples had unconfirmed single component pesticide detections and /or MRLs .5 ug/kg caused by interferences from PCBs and /or other organic materials in the samples. Apparently the tissue data was all done by HRGC/HRMS so we shouldn't have a problem with interferences. However, precision in this area is important for calculating appropriate BSAFs.

Hopefully this has been completed, and it is the re-analyzed data that is in the current update to Query Manager. Does anyone know?

-Jennifer